The Early Days

L.A. County saw its first major surge of bridges and viaducts in the early 1900s, driven by rapid urban growth. On the East side of the city, many bridges were built to cross the L.A. River and its floodplains as the city expanded. One of these bridges was the original 6th Street Viaduct, connecting downtown Los Angeles and Boyle Heights. This landmark was opened to the public in the year 1932, and became an icon of the city.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sixth Street Viaduc



Design & Priority changes after WWII.

After World War II, there was a significant shift in bridge design, influenced by both the demands of suburban expansion and advancements in materials and engineering Post-war bridges prioritized cost-efficiency and functionality over ornate design. The focus was on creating reliable, durable structures to accommodate the rapidly growing car-centric population.

The role of the L.A. River in design.

The L.A. River posed a significant challenge to urban development, and many bridges were specifically designed to cross its floodplain and channels. Major viaducts like the 6th Street Viaduct and 7th Street Bridge were constructed to traverse the river, allowing for uninterrupted connectivity across the city.

The Design.

Many early bridges (1920s-1930s) featured Art Deco and Beaux-Arts influences, incorporating decorative railings, ornate lampposts, and intricate motifs. Many included elegant arches and structural details to highlight their engineering achievements. These styles reflected the era's focus on blending functionality with aesthetic appeal, and these bridges continue to inspire those who cross them because of it.

FOURTH STREET VIADUCT

Materials.

Bridges built in the 1920s-30s primarily used reinforced concrete for its strength and durability. Steel was often combined with concrete to support longer spans. particularly in arches and trusses. Stone and masonry were also used in some early designs, giving bridges an aesthetic, classical look. These materials allowed for the creation of both functional and iconic structures during this period.

The influence of seismic activity.

The Designer.

Merrill Butler (1891-1963).

of early 20th-century Los

Angeles. Responsible for

Street Viaduct, Butler's

landscape.

contributions were vital in

city. His designs facilitated

A visionary engineer whose work

helped define the infrastructure

designing some of the city's most

iconic bridges, including the 6th

connecting a rapidly expanding

transportation and played a key

role in shaping the city's modern

After the San Fernando earthquake in 1971, new design standards and retrofitting technologies, such as base isolation and seismic damping, were incorporated into the city's bridges. Another major earthquake that severly impacted L.A.'s bridge & viaduct infrastructure was the 1994 Northridge Earthquake, which caused elevated sections of the 10 Freeway to collapse.



Los Angeles boasts a rich tapestry of bridge designs, reflecting various architectural movements and engineering advancements. The city's bridges not only serve functional purposes but also stand as artistic landmarks, showcasing intricate railings and ornamentation that narrate the region's history.



Early 1900s:

Bridges from this era often featured solid concrete railings or simple pipe designs. The focus was primarily on functionality, with minimal decorative elements.

Example: The Buena Vista Viaduct (now the North Broadway Bridge) exemplifies early utilitarian design, emphasizing structural integrity over ornamentation.



The Legacy & Impact of L.A. bridges.

Los Angeles' bridges and viaducts are more than just infrastructure; they are testaments to the city's growth, resilience, and creativity. From their role in connecting neighborhoods to becoming cultural icons in films, these structures represent the spirit of innovation that shaped L.A. Their designs continue to evolve, balancing history, sustainability, and functionality, ensuring they remain vital to the city's future.

The rise of freeways &

As Los Angeles became known for

its sprawling freeway network,

bridges were built to support the

growing car culture..The creation

of these elevated freeway viaducts

became necessary to navigate the

complex terrain and connect the

city's diverse neighborhoods,

contributing to the increasing

number of bridges.

the need for bridges.

Modern bridges & sustainability.

With modern infrastructure projects, sustainability has become a key concern. Bridges are now being designed to incorporate green spaces, bike paths, and pedestrian walkways to promote alternative transport and reduce the carbon footprint. The L.A. River **Revitalization Master Plan** envisions bridges as part of a strategy to restore the river's ecosystem and create public spaces.

1920s-1930s: Art Deco

This period introduced more ornate railings, with geometric patterns, sunburst motifs, and stylized floral designs. The railings became more decorative, reflecting the Art Deco movement's emphasis on aesthetics.

Example: The Fourth Street Viaduct showcases railings with intricate geometric patterns, characteristic of the Art Deco era.

Post-WWII Era: Modernism and Minimalism

A shift towards simplicity emerged, with cleaner lines and less ornamentation. Railings became more streamlined, often utilizing materials like steel and aluminum.

Example: The Vincent Thomas Bridge features minimalist railings that align with mid 20th-century modernist principles.

Bevond their utility. bridges in L.A. carry deep symbolic weight. They span physical and social divides linking communities that were once separated by rivers, railways, and expanding freeway systems. Over time, these crossings have shaped urban development patterns, influenced traffic circulation, and defined the identity of many neighborhoods.

The future of Los Anaeles' bridaes depends on their ability to adapt. Through retrofitting, preservation, & upgrades, these structures must respond to seismic, environmental, and mobility needs. Their longevity will rely on public investment and thoughtful planning.

Experiment:

Walk across 1st Street Viaduct and measure the physical impact of this infrastructure on the human body. Metric Used: Heartrate

Measurements were taken at each Arch on both sides of the bridge, walking from the East LA side toward little Tokyo. Primary metric in this experiment is BPM, or hearttate as I cross the bridge. Results varied depending on the elevation & incline of the bridge. The different spans between some arches also can be seen in the difference in BPM, with some arches being spaced further apart than others.

OBSERVATION:

Every arch along this bridge acrries complete uniformity in its design & ornamentation, along with the railings on the both side of the bridge. The only design aspect of the bridge that differs compared to the rest is the new fence in the middle of the bridge separating the light rail lines (fence pictured above).

KEY FINDINGS:

- Elevation & Incline: Heart rate fluctuated in response to the bridge's varying incline, with BPM increasing on steeper sections and stabilizing on flatter spans.
- Arch Spacing & Impact: Some arches are spaced further apart, subtly affecting the walking rhythm and BPM variations.
- Uniformity in Design: Despite differences in elevation, every arch maintains a consistent aesthetic, from its ornamentation to the railings.
- A Singular Disruption: The only design element that disrupts this uniformity is the new fence added to separate the light rail tracks-a modern intervention in an otherwise historic structure.

23'05" 🗱 0.54мі

ARCH #8

BPM: 146

A Study of 6th Street Viaduct: The Original Bridge vs. The New Bridge

Seismic Retrofitting Material Used on LA Bridges

Time Period	Concrete Reinforcements (tons)	Steel Reinforcements (tons)	
Before 1971	0	0	-
After 1971	20000	1500	
Afber 1994	50000	4000	7
Modern (2020s)	80000	7000	7

Old vs. New Sixth Street Bridge Viaduct Comparison

Category	Old Sixth Street Viaduct (1932)	New Sixth Street Viaduct (2022)
Concrete (tons)	80000	110000
Steel (tons)	5000	8250
Length (ft)	3500	3500
Width (ft)	80	100

Material Weight Breakdown for New Sixth Street Viaduct

Material	Weight (Tana)	Weight (Pounds)	Weight (Kilograms)
Concrete	110000	220000000	99790240.0
Steel	8250	16500000	7484269.0

Cost Breakdown for New Sixth Street Viaduct

Category	New Sixth Street Viaduct (2022)
Concrete Cost (\$)	\$7,700,000.00
Steel Cost (\$)	\$8,250,000.00
Total Material Cost (\$)	\$15,950,000.00
Cost Per Foot (\$)	\$4,557.14
Cost Per Square Foot (\$)	\$45.57
Cost Per Lane Mile (\$)	\$4,010,285.71
Concrete Quantity (Cubic Yards)	55,000.00 CY
Cost Per Cubic Yard of Concrete (\$)	\$140.00
Cost Per Ton of Steel (\$)	\$1,000.00

Bridges/Viaducts

ridges & Viaducts	1916	• 1930	1942	1955	1967	1979	0 1991	2003
ear Built	•1917	1931	1944	1956	1968	1980	0 1992	2004
1900	1920	1932	1945	1957	1969	1981	0 1993	2006
1901	1921	1933	1946	1958	1970	1982	01994	
1906	1922	1934	1947	1959	1971	1983	1995	States
1907	1923	1935	1948	1960	1972	1984	1996	
1909	1924	1936	1949	1961	1973	1985	1997	-Rivers
1910	1925	1937	1950	1962	1974	01986	1998	
1911	1926	1938	1951	1963	1975	1987	1999	0-024
1912	1927	1939	1952	1964	1976	1988	2000	A Site
1913	01928	1940	1953	1965	1977	0 1989	2001	
1914	1929	1941	1954	1966	1978	0 1990	2002	

ARCH #9 BPM: 141

23'34"

5:11.04 ARCH #10 23'24" MEE

The Overspan Layered Bridge

PThe Overspan is a layered bridge concept that introduces welevated parkspace above existing or mewly built bridge infrastructure. It reclaims space traditionally reserved for vehicles and gives it back to the public as shaded, walkable parkspace. By reimagining the bridge as more than a placeless route of passage, The Overspan transforms it into a destination – a place to gather, pause, and connect above the flow of the city.

Overspan

Layered Above

Rather than replacing existing infrastructure, the Overspan introduces a new layer of use - one that reflects a shift in urban priorities. By layering parkspace above active bridge structures, it offers a quiet resistance to car-dominated design and reframes infrastructure as a shared urban asset. This approach doesn't just modify the bridge; it repositions it within the city's social fabric, inviting new relationships between movement, public space, and the everyday experience of crossing.

The ideal site for the Layered Bridge can be found at the multitude of bridges that cross over the LA River near downtown. This approach can be scaled up or down, depending on bridge length, allowing for easy application around the city.

elements, like decking, planters, and shade structures, to be added in phases above the active roadway.

In 5 years, the bridges remain in peak condition with minimal wear. **Green spaces start** establishing but need upkeep. Public reception is mixed, with both support and resistance.

In 15 years, maintenance costs ris as materials age. Gree infrastructure is fully integrated but may ne reinforcements. Surrounding urban development influence bridge use.

Hollenbeck Park

Community

Center

	In 50 years, major
е	renovations are
n	required. Some
	materials degrade,
ed	leading to redesigns.
	Certain bridges become
	cultural icons, while
es	others face removal.

In 100 years, only the most successful designs survive. Some gain historic status, while others are repurposed. **Climate change and** urban needs dictate their future roles.

WIChigan Ave

The Underspan **I Bristow Park**

s St

ila St

The Underspan is a layered bridge concept that activates the underutilized spaces beneath existing or newly built bridge infrastructure. These shaded zones, often left vacant or overlooked, are reimagined as civic and public space places to pass through, pause, or gather beneath the flow of the city.

Layered Below

Rather than leaving the underside of infrastructure as leftover voids, the Underspan introduces a new layer of use - one that reflects the social realities and spatial inequalities often embedded in infrastructure systems.

By designing the space below as a usable threshold rather than an inaccessible boundary, the Underspan elevates the potential of bridges to create vertical continuity in the urban landscape. It opens space for informal economies, public seating, lighting, and vegetation – adapting to different conditions across the city.

The approach is less about aesthetics and more about reclaiming neglected urban volume.

cleared, permanent columns and slab foundations are added to shape the newly constructed public spaces beneath the freeway.

In 5 years, underpasses show increased pedestrian use. especially near transit and markets. Issues of lighting, noise, and safety drive early nodifications.

In 15 years, adjacent development pressur create tension betwee activation and displacement. Some spaces formalize into maintained public zones; others decline due to lack of oversigl

	In 50 years, select
es	Underspans become
en	permanent civic
	infrastructure — spaces
	for vendors, mobility
	hubs, or recreation.
	Others are sealed off or
	demolished based on
ht.	surrounding urban
	change.

In 100 years, only those adapted to shifting social, economic, and environmental conditions remain. Cities redesign bridge underbellies with climate resilience and flexibility in mind.